



# Magna Carta Bar Chambers

Official Body of the Inter-Governmental Ignita Veritas University  
International Law Center of the Independent Legal Profession  
Autonomous Barristers Chambers at Common Law for Legal Services  
Statutory Authority under Conventional International Law

© 2021 Ignita Veritas University (IVU). All International Rights Reserved.

## Barristers Certification of Legal Facts and Law

### Enforcement of Economic Human Rights

Empowered by the  
**Sovereign Royal Portfolio**

23 February 2021

Magna Carta Bar Chambers (MCBC) is the international law firm and legal services center of the inter-governmental organization (IGO) Ignita Veritas University, internationally licensed by its collective of Member States. Special authorities include protected client confidentiality (1990 Role of Lawyers, Pre: ¶9, 11, §§16, 21, 22), immunities (§§16-17, 20) and investigative powers (§21), binding on all countries (1969 Law of Treaties, 38), free from government influence (1948 Human Rights 20.2), thus representing the independent Legal Profession (1990 Role of Lawyers, Pre: ¶9). Services are regulated by the 2006 Code of Conduct for European Lawyers of the Council of Bars and Law Societies of Europe (Brussels, Belgium).

### Certification of Legal Results of International Law

The Barristers Chambers of **Magna Carta Bar Chambers** officially certifies and issues the present Barristers Opinion of legal facts and resulting legal analysis.

This work was produced jointly in collaboration with the Royal Institute of Geopolitics and Diplomacy (Diplomatic Academy) and Pontifical Academy of History (Archaeology Faculty) of **Ignita Veritas University** (IV University) of the inter-governmental (IGO) host institution Ignita Veritas United (IVU).

Our Barristers, specializing in customary international law, supported by Judiciary experts on human rights enforcement, have researched all determinative legal issues and operative law, and independently confirmed all legal results, including from original sources.

This Certified Barristers Opinion establishes the legal bases for effective enforcement of economic human rights violations which are crimes against humanity, specifically how such enforcement is empowered by foreign aid and humanitarian relief funding of national economies by the subject **Sovereign Royal Portfolio** (SRP) of the ancient **Royal Alliance of Independent States** (RAIS).

A collection of the most authoritative academic source references used for legal analysis, meeting all international standards for university scholarship, are provided in the “Academic Source References” section at the end of this report.

## **Globalist Agenda of Economic Enslavement**

**Globalist System of Enslavement** – The United Nations (UN) influences the world financial system through the World Bank and International Monetary Fund (IMF), which are officially declared part of “the UN family, or the UN system”, as “autonomous organizations linked to the UN through special agreements” [1].

An American government contractor published a detailed exposé book, revealing that for decades, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) only gives loans to countries on the conditions of the government (1) pledging its national assets and natural resources as collateral, and (2) implementing globalist policies which often undermine its national sovereignty and human rights of the people.

When the country defaults on the loan, this results in the IMF seizing its critical infrastructure assets, and causes the loss of other human rights of its citizens.

This book documented how “the World Bank and its sister organizations”, meaning the IMF, has systematically pressured national leaders “to accept loans that would enslave their countries in debt... to betray their people”. This globalist system, driven by the “false economics” of Artificial Scarcity, “attacks the very foundations of democracy and the planet’s life-support systems”, and thus threatens all of humanity.

This global finance insider explained: “Fear and debt drive this system. We are hammered with messages that terrify us into believing that we must pay any price, assume any debt, to stop” each artificially manufactured crisis. The effective and consistent result is that “debt enslaves us and it enslaves those countries.” [2]

**Globalist Agenda for Full Tyranny** – The World Economic Forum (WEF) published a propaganda article promoting the planned globalist agenda. It predicted that in the near future, the people “don’t own anything” at all, but have to register for “everything you considered a product, [as] a service”, resulting in “no real privacy. No where [to] go and not be registered... everything [we] do... is recorded. [We] just hope that nobody will use it against [us].” [3]

The World Economic Forum (WEF) also published a related propaganda video, featuring the slogan “You’ll own nothing, and you’ll be happy.” It declared that “Whatever you want you’ll rent”, “A handful of countries will dominate”, and “Western values will have been tested to the breaking point”. [4]

Both WEF propaganda pieces openly admit – and specifically advocate for – a globalist policy of abolishing all rights of owning private property, even admitting an agenda to overthrow and abolish all traditional values which are the basis for national sovereignty and human rights.

This agenda is identical to the key points of the Communist Manifesto: “1. Abolition of property... 2. A heavy... income tax. 3. Abolition of all rights of inheritance. 4. Confiscation of the property of all... rebels [political dissidents]”; “The Communists... openly declare that their ends [these goals] can be attained only by the forcible overthrow of all existing social conditions... [as] a Communistic revolution.” [5]

**Key to Dismantle All Human Rights** – The agenda that people “don’t own anything”, and thus are forced to “rent” everything, means that one’s residence, vehicle, phone, all working tools, and computer for storing all data (family photos, career documents, research, and other work product), are all wholly conditional upon one’s ability to continually make regular payments.

With one’s payment ability made dependent upon access and use of “digital currency”, and maybe also upon “universal basic income”, then either or both can be “Switched Off” by the government at any time.

One can thus suddenly be completely deprived of one’s living space, transportation, communication, tools to practice a profession or make a living, all data of one’s life and life’s work, and any ability to receive or hold any currency to ever recover, or even just to survive.

With people “registered” by “rent” arrangements for all property they need to use, and all activities “recorded” by transactions relying on “digital currency”, all privacy is abolished, and all political dissidents – anyone who questions any policy or abuse of power by the government – can be “Switched Off”.

This is the mechanism for absolute totalitarian dictatorship of complete tyrannical control, enabling the systematic dismantling of all human rights:

If the government ever disagrees with one's exercise of any rights under the 1948 Declaration of Human Rights, including "freedom of movement" (Article 13), "freedom of religion" (Article 18), "freedom of opinion and expression [free speech]" (Article 19), "freedom of association" with others (Article 20), or the right to practice one's profession and earn a living (Article 23), then one can be "Switched Off".

In Christian scripture (ca. 70 AD), the New Testament warned about this system of control, calling it the "Mark of the Beast", imposing economic tracking and control, "that no man might buy or sell, save he that had the mark", "and they have no rest day nor night, who... receiveth the mark", as Satan "deceived them that had received the mark" (Revelation 13:16-17; 14:11; 19:20).

In Muslim scripture (ca. 750 AD), the Hadith of the Quran confirm the Mark of the Beast warning: "The beast will... place a mark on the people", imposing economic tracking and control, "such that a man will purchase a camel and it will be said: From whom did you buy it? He will say: I bought it from one of those with the mark." (Musnad Ahmad: 21805)

The book Nineteen Eighty-Four "1984" by George Orwell (1949) also warned about this, using the term "Unperson", meaning one who has no rights, is removed from all participation in society, and essentially erased from all existence, as punishment for disagreeing with the tyrannical government called "Big Brother".

The modern political system for abuse of this control system has already been established, as evidenced by the book Cancel Culture: The Latest Attack on Free Speech and Due Process (2020), by the prominent legal scholar Alan Dershowitz:

This system, known as "Cancel Culture", uses false defamation for career sabotage, combined with censorship preventing targets from defending themselves with truth, to "Deplatform" them from all online service providers, and cause the "Shutdown" of their business or their "Firing" from employment;

These aggressive attacks, perpetually in search of increasingly more victims, are driven by the propaganda promoted by globalist politicians, enabled by politically motivated executives of technology companies, as punishment for any dissent from the establishment narrative.

Therefore, the globalist agenda of the "Great Reset" would install the final parts of an engine of mass oppression against all of humanity, effectively abolishing all human rights through economic slavery, in flagrant criminal violation of international law.

**True Form of UN “Agenda 2030”** – Both of the WEF propaganda pieces declare a Global Reset “Agenda for the Year 2030”, evidencing that this is the globalist plan for implementing the United Nations (UN) “Agenda 2030” (previously called “Agenda 21”, originally targeting implementation in 2021), which otherwise appears harmless.

Directly proving that the Global Reset agenda is in fact the true intended form of implementing the same UN “Agenda 2030”, the United Nations (UN) and World Economic Forum (WEF) signed a “Strategic Partnership” agreement “to accelerate implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”, by “coordinated collaboration... [for] combined impact”.

This UN-WEF agreement declares a “focus on aligning financial systems and accelerating... toward the 2030 Agenda... Goals”, meaning those promoted by the WEF, including to “enhance digital financial inclusion”, meaning to use economic control through digital currency as a weapon against privacy rights, precisely as described by the WEF [6].

**Imminent Threat to Human Rights** – A Canadian government whistleblower leaked a report detailing plans to implement this same WEF “Great Reset” agenda, using manipulated crisis events to force citizens to survive only by “universal basic income”, while requiring them to give up all rights to private property ownership:

The government would “eliminate all personal debts” using “funding [from] the International Monetary Fund (IMF)... In exchange for [this] debt forgiveness the individual would forfeit ownership of any and all property and assets forever.” Reportedly, “those who refused would first live under lock down restrictions... [then] be relocated into isolation facilities... and have all their assets seized.”

It also declared that “all nations will have similar roadmaps and agendas”, apparently driven by the WEF and IMF plans already admitted in their own propaganda promoting the “Great Reset” [7].

The fact that the UN system plans to impose this globalist agenda against human rights upon all peoples of all countries – whether they like it or not – is revealed and admitted in the UN-WEF agreement. This is expressed by the ominous propaganda euphemism of “leaving no one behind” [8], meaning that no one will be left alone, and no one will escape with their human rights.

## Human Rights Violated by Globalist Agenda

**Human Right to National Resources** – The 1966 Covenant on Economic and Social Rights (Articles 1, 25), and the 1966 Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (Articles 1, 47), both declare that as an essential part of the “right of self-determination”, “All peoples may... freely dispose of their natural wealth and resources”. Both mandate that “any obligations [from] international economic cooperation” (i.e. debt and collateral) are limited by “international law”: “In no case may a people be deprived of its own means of subsistence”. Both repeat and emphasize the “inherent right of all peoples to enjoy and utilize fully and freely their natural wealth and resources.”

The 1998 Declaration on the Right to Protect Human Rights declares that “human rights” include “the right of every people to exercise full sovereignty over its wealth and natural resources” (Preamble: ¶14). “Everyone has the right [to] receive and utilize [economic] resources” to exercise their “fundamental freedoms” (Article 13).

**Human Right to Own Private Property** – The 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights recognizes as “inalienable rights” (Preamble: ¶1), that “Everyone has the right to own property”, and mandates that “No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property” (Article 17). It requires that “Everyone is entitled... to a fair... hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of [these] rights” (Article 10).

This confirms the universal rule of customary international law evidenced by the 1791 Bill of Rights of the Constitution of the United States, that “No person shall... be deprived of... liberty or property, without due process of law” (5<sup>th</sup> Amendment).

In Common Law as customary international law, “due process of law” requires applying “settled maxims [rules] of law” through “legal proceedings... for the enforcement and protection of private rights... for ascertaining guilt” (Black’s Law 2<sup>nd</sup> 1910: “Due Process of Law”, p.401).

Therefore, it is a fundamental human right that nothing can cancel one’s “liberty” to own property, nor cancel one’s ownership in the “property” itself, without a Court of Law determining “guilt” for one’s proven violations of “settled” rules of criminal law.

This means that nothing can abolish property ownership rights, nor terminate ownership in any property, merely for some political agenda or governmental policy, imposed against a whole class of citizens.

**Human Right to Privacy in Economics** – The 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights recognizes as “inalienable rights” (Preamble: ¶1), that “No one shall be subjected to *arbitrary* interference with his privacy, and mandates that “Everyone has the right to the protection of law against such interference” (Article 12). This same declaration is repeated in the 1966 Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (Article 17).

In Common Law as customary international law, the legal term “Arbitrary” is defined as “Not supported by fair, solid, and *substantial cause*, and *without reason given*” (Black’s Law 2<sup>nd</sup> 1910: “Arbitrary”, p.83), thus literally “*unreasonable*”.

This confirms the universal rule of customary international law evidenced by the 1791 Bill of Rights of the Constitution of the United States: “The right... to be secure... against *unreasonable* searches and seizures [of evidence], shall not be violated... but upon *probable cause*” (4<sup>th</sup> Amendment).

In Common Law, “Probable Cause” is defined as “apparent facts” giving a “reasonable” basis (“cause”) to “believe” there is a “probability” that a “person had committed [a] crime” (Black’s Law 2<sup>nd</sup> 1910: “Probable Cause”, pp.945-946).

Therefore, it is a fundamental human right that nothing can suspend nor “interfere” in one’s “right to privacy”, without an outward appearance of facts demonstrating the “probability” of one’s violation of criminal law, thereby giving “cause” (“reason”) to “seize” private information to investigate further.

This means that nothing can abolish economic privacy rights, nor establish any systemic surveillance of private economic activities, merely for some political agenda or governmental policy, imposed against a whole class of citizens.

**Globalist Agenda is Criminally Illegal** – This summary of only some of the human rights involved proves the following legal conclusion:

The globalist agenda of economic and government agencies (1) using national critical infrastructure assets and natural resources as collateral for economic aid loans, (2) limiting or abolishing private property ownership rights for economic control of citizens, (3) requiring digital currency for economic control of citizens, and (4) using such economic control as a weapon against privacy rights, is an aggressive and flagrant violation of fundamental human rights, constituting an international crime.

## Crimes Against Humanity Require Enforcement

**Full Criminal Liability for Violations** – The 1969 Convention on the Law of Treaties establishes that once a “right” is recognized by an international convention ratified by multiple States, that “right may not be revoked or modified” by any State (Articles 36.1, 37.2), and such rights “become binding upon” all States as “customary rules of international law” which are “recognized” by that convention (Article 38).

The 2012 Declaration on the Rule of Law establishes that “international organizations” of the UN system (such as the IMF and WEF), “including the United Nations” itself, “are accountable” and thus fully liable for any of their own violations of international law and human rights (Article 2).

**Violations as Crimes Against Humanity** – The 1998 Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC Charter) broadly defines “Crimes Against Humanity” as “any acts” involving either: “Enslavement”, including economic slavery (Article 7.1(c), 7.2(c)); “Persecution against any identifiable group”, including civilians or political dissidents, “in connection with... any crime” violating “international law” (Article 7.1(h)) by “deprivation of fundamental rights” (Article 7.2(g)); or “Other inhumane acts... intentionally causing great suffering [to] mental or physical health” (Article 7.1(k)).

The 1973 Principles of Crimes Against Humanity lists “crimes against humanity” separately from the limited concept of “war crimes”, proving that any other crimes against human rights causing suffering to any group can be prosecuted as “crimes against humanity” (Article 7).

This is confirmed by the 2005 World Summit “Responsibility to Protect”, which additionally specifies that “such crimes includ[e] their *incitement*”, which applies to any distribution or publication of a policy analysis for planning, or any propaganda for promoting, any “crimes against humanity” (Article 138).

The 1998 ICC Rome Statute mandates that “Elements of Crimes shall [be]... interpret[ed]” by the independent Judiciary of an International Court (Articles 9-10).

Therefore, an International Court may define “Crimes Against Humanity” more simply as: *“Any intentional act or conduct of planning, promoting, enacting or implementing any policies against human rights in violation of conventional international law, which causes suffering to any targeted group”*.



**Enforceable by Military or Death Penalty** – The 2005 Responsibility to Protect also mandates enforcement by military intervention by the “international community... through [a] Security Council”, if “national authorities manifestly fail to protect their populations from... crimes against humanity” (Article 139). This enforcement by authorized use of military force, presumed to be lethal, evidences a legal basis for an international Court to issue a Judiciary sentence of the Death Penalty.

The 1998 ICC Rome Statute establishes the standard “Penalties” for crimes against humanity as “imprisonment” up to 30 years, or “life imprisonment”, and also a monetary “fine”, and “forfeiture of [all] proceeds, property and assets derived directly or indirectly from that crime” (Article 77).

However, it also specifies an exception that “Nothing [prevents] the application by States of penalties prescribed by their national law” (Article 80). Crimes against humanity, including the systematic dismantling of fundamental human rights recognized as constitutional civil rights, are an attack against the People and the Constitution of the nation, which generally constitutes “Treason”. The universal penalty for Treason, in essentially all countries, is the Death Penalty.

**Liability of Institutions and Individuals** – The modern framework of conventional international law imposes corporate liability of organizations, institutional liability of official agencies, personal liability of private individuals, and personal liability of governmental officials, for any and all involvement in violations of human rights:

The 1998 Declaration on the Right to Protect Human Rights requires that “*No one* shall participate, by act or by failure to act where required, in violating human rights”; “*Everyone* who, as a result of [their] profession, can affect the... human rights... of others should... comply with... international standards” (Articles 10, 11);

The 1985 Declaration of Justice for Abuse of Power confirms that “Offenders or *third parties* responsible for their behaviour” must pay “restitution” including “restoration of rights” (Article 8);

The 2005 Principles on the Right to Remedy for Human Rights require to “enforce valid legal judgments” specifically “against *individuals*... liable for the harm” of violations (Article 17);

The 1990 Guidelines on the Role of Prosecutors declares that even individuals who are government officials are personally subject to “prosecution of crimes committed by public officials, particularly... abuse of power [and] violations of human rights and other crimes recognized by international law” (Article 15).

## Reasons for Royal Funding as Humanitarian Aid

Plato's Critias Manuscript (ca. 400 BC) documented that the ancient Royal Alliance of Independent States (RAIS) was formed by a Treaty of "special laws which the several kings had inscribed about the temples", requiring to govern with "gentleness and wisdom", by "virtue" and "virtuous friendship".

This Treaty especially required to uphold and enforce the rule of law and human rights, and "if any one [king] had transgressed in anything, [the Alliance] passed judgment on him accordingly".

In the temples "on the column, besides the law, there was inscribed an oath invoking mighty curses on the disobedient. ... Then... they swore that they would judge according to the laws on the column, and would punish any one who... transgress[ed] any of the inscriptions, and would not... act otherwise than according to the laws". [9]

Archives of the Rosicrucian Order documented this same Royal Alliance, noting that "Since [its] decrees were based on the most exalted moral and spiritual values, they were accepted and respected by all. ... [This] Royalty was truly divinely inspired and constituted the highest form of government ever known to humanity." [10]

The historical record thus evidences that the Royal Alliance is governed by its founding Treaty principles, dedicated to the rule of law and human rights for the benefit of humanity. As the Alliance accumulated its Royal Treasury assets throughout 16,000 years under that Treaty, the resulting Sovereign Royal Portfolio (SRP) is thus bound by those principles as Treaty conditions governing the use of all its assets and funds.

As a result, it remains the official policy of the Royal Alliance to authorize use of the Royal Portfolio assets solely for humanitarian purposes. Accordingly, its sovereign funding of national economies must be in the form of foreign aid and humanitarian relief grants. It is thus strictly prohibited for the assets to be used in any commercial manner as "loans" imposing debt, or as "investment" draining profits.

Therefore, the only conditions on Royal Portfolio funding for countries is that their national governments must uphold the rule of law, their own national sovereignty, and the human rights of their people, as required by the modern framework of conventional international law.

## **Governments Need to Accept Humanitarian Aid**

**Need for Humanitarian Relief Funds** – “Economic Warfare” is an officially recognized form of military aggression, defined as “the use of... economic means against a country in order to weaken its economy and thereby reduce its political and military power.” (1998 Encyclopaedia Britannica: “Economic Warfare: International Law”.)

Military Intelligence sources report that countries of the world have been increasingly under attack, by private international banking interests, to impose “global governance” for control by unelected “elites”, essentially suppressing and replacing national governments:

These attacks are primarily through “Economic Warfare”, driven by “Information Warfare” of propaganda, creating and using a series of manufactured crises to weaken national economies. Such crises are used to force countries to accept unsustainable loan funds with unacceptable conditions, which undermine both national sovereignty and human rights.

While national governments are distracted dealing with the crises, with the costs of attempted solutions further bankrupting the countries in the process, they have been unable to defend against the real and larger attack of Economic Warfare. This made them vulnerable to escalating pressure to accept the globalist “Great Reset” agenda, which is actually the final stage of the ultimate attack.

As a result, the nations of the world are experiencing mass suffering, and are in great need of foreign aid for the countries and humanitarian relief for the people.

**Obligation to Allow Humanitarian Aid** – Under international law, governments and economic agencies have a binding obligation to allow and enable humanitarian aid funding, and their officials and executives have serious liability for any denial or interference, which would constitute an international crime:

The 2006 UN Security Council Protection of Civilians in Armed Conflict declares the “condemnation in the strongest terms of all... abuses committed against civilians”, specifically including “the intentional denial of humanitarian assistance” (Article 5). It mandates all States to ensure “facilitation of the provision of humanitarian assistance (Article 11(ii)), and “to allow full unimpeded access by humanitarian personnel” (Article 22).

This rule is not limited to situations of “armed conflict”, but also applies to events of Economic Warfare which are causing or advancing “crimes against humanity”:

The 2005 World Summit “Responsibility to Protect”, declares that all States have “the responsibility to use... humanitarian and other peaceful means... to help protect populations from... crimes against humanity” (Article 139).

## **Restoring Sovereignty and Human Rights**

**“Unlimited” Humanitarian Aid Funds** – The ancient Sovereign Royal Portfolio (SRP) is the only international economic fund in world history which is officially considered to have “unlimited funds”, with sufficient assets to be a reliable alternative to the modern globalist system of unsustainable loans with unacceptable conditions:

Plato’s Critias Manuscript (ca. 400 BC) described the Royal Portfolio as “such an amount of wealth as was never before possessed by kings... wondrous in infinite abundance” [11];

Official documents registered with its “Master Control Codes” for its accounts in the modern “Inter-Bank” system (1990 AD) confirm that it is officially described as “underwriting the world financial system”.

**Modern Return of the Royal Portfolio** – The Royal Alliance, led by Indonesian President Soekarno and Philippine President Marcos as its appointed “Monetary One (M-1)” and “Monetary Two (M-2)” controllers, accomplished the placement and integration of the ancient assets into the modern International Monetary System for 45 years from 1945-1990. However, they never had the chance to fully exercise their offices by actually operating the Portfolio to benefit humanity.

During the period of abeyance of the “M-1” and “M-2” for 30 years from 1989, the current leadership worked on restoration of the Royal Alliance for 11 years from 2007 until completing coronations for those offices in 2018.

King Bungsu and Prince Peter of Nusantara, the new “M-1” and “M-2”, intensively worked to restore heritage and legal foundations of the Royal Alliance, and develop its own Inter-Governmental Organization (IGO) as the sovereign vehicle and diplomatic infrastructure to operate its Royal Portfolio, during 5 years from 2016-2021.

All of that restoration work was conducted, and all of the IGO institutions were specifically designed and developed, to restore the national sovereignty of countries, and to reclaim human rights under international law, for all of humanity.

**Escape from Tyranny to Human Rights** – A destructive globalist agenda against humanity, publicly admitted and promoted as the World Economic Forum (WEF) “Great Reset”, revealing the real plans and method to implement the United Nations (UN) “Agenda 2030”, is already being imposed on countries through unsustainable lending practices of the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

This agenda is apparently calculated, and thus evidently intended, to establish a global tyranny of totalitarian control by private political interest groups as self-appointed “elites”, abolishing sovereign nation states, and dismantling all human rights.

In furtherance of that agenda, funding by the IMF of the UN system is generally provided only on the conditions of *limiting and undermining* both national sovereignty of the country and human rights of the people, while burdening it with debt.

Fortunately, for the first time in modern world history, the Royal Alliance of Independent States (RAIS) is finally positioned and prepared to operate its Sovereign Royal Portfolio (SRP) of assets, to support free and independent countries of empowered and prosperous peoples, as mandated by international law.

In sharp contrast with the globalist economic model, funding by the Royal Alliance is provided only on the conditions of *restoring and upholding* both sovereignty of the country and human rights of the people, while replacing debt with freedom.

Therefore, national security interests should compel national leaders to choose the only alternative to escape from the impending rollout of unprecedented tyranny, by engaging with the Royal Alliance to achieve an historic rollout of abundance and prosperity, with full enjoyment of human rights.

For this purpose, the Royal Alliance offers its “Sovereign Partnership Agreement” (SPA), as the key Treaty to unlock and unleash the full human potential of each partner nation. The Treaty establishes a joint “Golden Nation Council”, to coordinate funding from the Royal Portfolio for national projects, free from any debt, and without need for any repayment, as foreign aid and humanitarian relief funds.

## Academic Source References

[1] United Nations, UN Family of Organizations, UN official website, “Model United Nations” section.

[2] John Perkins, New Confessions of an Economic Hit Man (2004), Berrett-Koehler Publishers, San Francisco (2016), “Introduction”; *Updated and Expanded; New York Times Best Seller; John Perkins was a senior economic advisor to the United Nations, World Bank, International Monetary Fund, and US Treasury Department.*

[3] Ida Auken, Here’s How Life Could Change in My City by the Year 2030, World Economic Forum; Published in Forbes Magazine, “Leadership Strategy” Section (10 November 2016); WEF official website, “Agenda” Section (11 November 2016); *Ida Auken is a Member of Parliament in Denmark, and Member of the WEF Global Future Council.*

[4] World Economic Forum, 8 Predictions for the World in 2030, World Economic Forum, official Twitter account (18 November 2016); Video was deleted by WEF due to public outrage in November 2020.

[5] Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, Manifesto of the Communist Party, Communist League, Workers’ Educational Association, Bishopsgate, City of London (1848); *Translation: Samuel Moore with Frederick Engels (1888); Published in: Marx Engels Selected Works*, Volume 1, Progress Publishers, Moscow (1969), pp.98-137; Chapter II: “Proletarians and Communists”, p.26; Chapter IV: “Position of the Communists”, p.34.

[6] The United Nations World Economic Forum Strategic Partnership Framework for the 2030 Agenda, New York (13 June 2019); *Signed by the UN Deputy Secretary General and WEF President.*

[7] Staff, Is This Leaked Info Really Trudeau’s Crazy COVID Plan for 2021?, The Canadian Report (14 October 2020); Publishing an Email Memo by “LP Leaker”, Member of Liberal Party of Canada committee steered by Prime Minister’s Office.

[8] The United Nations World Economic Forum Strategic Partnership Framework for the 2030 Agenda, New York (13 June 2019).

[9] Ignatius Donnelly, Atlantis: The Antediluvian World, Harper & Brothers, New York (1882), pp.19-20; *Ignatius Donnelly (1831-1901) was a lawyer specializing in evidence proving historical facts; Quoting: “Plato, Critias, at p.120” (ca. 400 BC); Translation: Benjamin Jowett, The Dialogues of Plato, Volume 3, Oxford University Press (1871).*

[10] AMORC, Rosicrucian Master Monograph Illuminati Section, Christian Bernard version (ca. 1990); Ancient & Mystical Order Rosae Crucis “AMORC” (2011), Monograph 155 Degree 11 No. 5, p.3.

[11] Ignatius Donnelly, Atlantis: The Antediluvian World, Harper & Brothers, New York (1882), p.14; *Ignatius Donnelly (1831-1901) was a lawyer specializing in evidence proving historical facts; Quoting: “Plato, Critias, at p.120”* (ca. 400 BC); *Translation: Benjamin Jowett, The Dialogues of Plato*, Volume 3, Oxford University Press (1871).

### Certifications of Official Barristers Opinion

Certified by Prince Judge Matthew of Thebes, a government accredited Barrister and International Judge of the Bench at Bar, with the Judicial Bar Association “Foundation for International Justice and Arbitration” (FIJA) ID No. 2541 2202 7027 0, registered with the Ministry of Justice and Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Egypt.

The Bar Chambers certifies that digital originals and electronic copies of this and related transaction documents, when duly signed and sealed, shall have the same full legal force and effect as paper originals.

*Officially Issued with Law Firm Authority under International Law:*



Prince Judge Matthew of Thebes  
J.D., Ph.D., D.Sc., J.S.D., J.C.D.  
Barrister, Judge of the Bench at Bar  
King’s Counsel (K.C.), Privy Councillor (P.C.)

**Magna Carta Bar Chambers (MCBC)**

[www.ivu.igo-gov.org/law-center](http://www.ivu.igo-gov.org/law-center)

